

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,723	07/17/2006	William M. Heyn	WHP-P1-PCT	8712
7550 06/10/2011 William H. Holt Law Offices of William H. Holt			EXAMINER	
			SULLIVAN, DEBRA M	
12311 Harbor Woodbridge, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/586,723	HEYN, WILLIAM M.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
DEBRA SULLIVAN	3725				

DI	EBRA SULLIVAN	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions at time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.196(s) after SIV, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the incommunications - If NO period for reply is appended above the maximum statutory period will age - Failur to reply within the seal or contended period for reply will, by statute, caus - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date - agend galacter term adjustment. Seal 37 CFR 1.794.	OF THIS COMMUNICATIO In no event, however, may a reply be ti oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from se the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Marc 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This act 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp.	ion is non-final. except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn f  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or ele		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on £1 March 2001 is/are: a] ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the case of the content of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the case of th	wing(s) be held in abeyance. Se is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents he 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents he 3 ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (P * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ave been received.  ave been received in Applicate documents have been receive CT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsporson's Patrent Drawing Flaview (FTO-942)	4) Interview Summar Parer No(s)/Mail D	Oate

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Eraftsperson's Patent Drawing Paylew (FTO-942)	Parer No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application</li> </ol>	
Paper No/s\/Mail Date	6) Other:	

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 1, 2011 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

Claim I is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "said method being characterized by" creates confusion within the claim. Specifically it is not clear if the subject matter following the phrase is further defining the securing step or if the phrase is intended to further define an additional step within the method. A suggestion to overcome the objection is to replace the phrase "said method being characterized by" with "said method further comprising a step of displacing..." Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regards to claim 1, the limitation "peelably securing" and "peelably secured" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear how a peripheral portion is to be secured to a flange portion if it is peelable. The examiner is interpreting the limitation to claim that the

peripheral portion of a peelable end panel is secured to the planar disposed flange portion. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the step" in line 6 and in line 9. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the step" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 6, filed March 1, 2011, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-7 has been withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

US 3,21,2468 to Rychiger discloses a method of applying an end panel (5) to a closure ring (3', 3") of a container wherein a peripheral portion of a peelable end panel (5) is secured to a flange portion (3") of the closure ring and the flange portion (3") is displaced with the end panel (5) secured thereto to from an acute angle with the plane [see figures 2-5; col. 2 line 35 - col. 3 line 23]. Rychiger fails to disclose performing the displacing step prior to securing the ring member and end panel to a container since the closure ring of Rychiger is formed from the top portion of the container and is therefore already secured to the container.

US 1,866,469 to Hirsch et al discloses a method of applying an end panel (14) to a closure ring (2) for a container (1) comprising the steps of securing a peripheral portion of the end panel (14) to a planar disposed flange portion (13) and displacing the flange portion (13) with the end panel (14) secured thereto from the plant to an angle thereto for forming an acute angle with the plane prior to securing the ring member (2) and the end panel (14) to the container (1) [see figures 1, 2, 8 & 9; col. 2 lines 64-70]. Hirsch et al fails to disclose the end panel being a peelable end panel which is permitted to be peeled from the closure ring.

The art of record (considered as a whole) neither anticipates nor renders obvious "displacing said flange portion, with said end panel peelably secured thereto, from said plane to an angle thereto for forming an acute angle with said plane prior to securing said ring member and end panel to a container" in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations set forth in the claim. To modify Hirsch et al to have a peelable end panel to obtain the claimed invention would destroy the workability because displacing used by Hirsch et al would not permit the end panel to be peelable from the closure ring. Furthermore there is not motivation to modify the combined structure of the container and closure ring of Rychiger to be separate since doing so would destroys the overall invention of Rychiger.

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra Sullivan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1904. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday 8am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached at (571) 272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR Application/Control Number: 10/586,723

Art Unit: 3725

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Page 5

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Debra M Sullivan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725